Supplementary Table S2. Summary of risk of bias of the included studies. Quality assessment of studies was performed with "Animal Research: Reporting of *In Vivo* Experiments" (ARRIVE; Percie du Sert *et al.*, 2020), "STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational studies in Epidemiology" (STROBE: von Elm *et al.*, 2007), and a quality assessment tool for basic science studies (Cosme *et al.*, 2021). Different criteria were scored with low risk of bias ("+", green) if the desirable information was reported in the study, high risk of bias ("-", red) if the criterion was not accomplished through the reported information, and unclear risk of bias ("?", yellow) if the information was not stated or incompletely reported in the study.

Study	Risk of bias criteria									
	"Animal Research: Reporting of In Vivo Experiments" (ARRIVE) guidelines									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Hanawa, 2021	+	?	?	-	-	+	?	+	?	+
Lee, 2019	+	?	?	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
Olivares, 2018	+	?	?	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
Peng, 2022	+	?	?	-	-	+	+	+	?	+
Ye, 2021	+	+	?	?	-	+	+	+	+	+
	"STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational studies in Epidemiology" (STROBE) guidelines									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Manka, 2021	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	+	+	?
	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Manka, 2021	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	21	22								
Manka, 2021	?	+								
	Quality assessment tool for basic science studies									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Cuffaro, 2021	+	+	?	?	+	?	+	?	+	?
	11	12	13	14						
Cuffaro, 2021	+	+	+	+						